

## Effects of Different Levels of Immunogen on Growth Performance, Intestinal Bacteria Colonization and Survival Rate in *Rutilus kutum* Larvae

<sup>1</sup>S. Karimzadeh, <sup>2</sup>A. Keramat Amirkolaie and <sup>3</sup>A. Esmaeili Molla

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, Roudaki Higher Education Institute, Tonekabon, Mazandaran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Animal Sciences and Fisheries, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University (SANRU), Km 9 Darya Boulevard, P.O.Box: 578, Sari, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Fish Reproduction and Culture of Shahid Rajaei, Sari, Mazandaran, Iran

**Abstract:** Administration of prebiotics as an alternative technique to replace antibiotics can stimulate the growth of beneficial bacteria and contribute to feed efficiency in fish. This study was to determine the effect of Immunogen prebiotic on growth related parameters and gut micro flora in *Rutilus kutum* larvae. A basal diet was formulated by the use of common feed ingredients and the inclusion of Immunogen at the rates of 0, 1, 1.5 and 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> leading to four experimental diets. Experimental diets were randomly assigned to one of 12 500L tanks, having three replicates per diet. Inclusion of 1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> Immunogen improved final weight, feed conversion ratio (FCR) and specific growth ratio (SGR) ( $p < 0.01$ ) in the larvae. The fish showed a higher survival rate at 1 g/kg prebiotic inclusion level ( $p < 0.01$ ). However, body composition was not influenced by prebiotic inclusion. Addition of prebiotic increased bacterial number at 1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $P < 0.05$ ), but further inclusion did not change bacterial number. In conclusion, administration of the prebiotic Immunogen is capable to improve the nutrients efficiency and fish performance of *Rutilus kutum* through growth stimulation by beneficial bacteria.

**Key words:** *Rutilus kutum* • Prebiotic • Feed Conversion • Survival rate • Bacterial Number

### INTRODUCTION

The shortage of natural resources such as fresh water and land has led to intensification of aquaculture system [1]. However, this condition may also increase the incidences of disease in fish farms due to deteriorated water quality [2]. Intensification can also increase stress level in farmed fish which may threaten immune system and increase the incidences of bacterial infections [3]. Antibiotics have long been introduced as a solution to treat these infections [4]. But long term administration of antibiotics to treat bacterial diseases of farmed fish has been widely criticized because of bacterial resistance to antibiotic, elimination of gut microbial flora, high cost of these drugs and potential side effects [5, 6].

Public concerns about the negative impacts of antibiotics have reduced sharply their uses for aquaculture industry in the United States and Europe [4]. The increasing economic and social concerns about decreasing the use of antibiotics and other chemicals used in fish farming have encouraged more environmentally friendly approaches for increasing growth [7]. Therefore, the introduction of alternative techniques to replace the chemical drug and the contribution of prebiotics may be significant.

Prebiotics are potentially food supplements that decrease infectious adverse effects and increase feed efficiency by stimulating the growth of beneficial bacteria [3]. Prebiotics generally include nutrients such as non-digestible carbohydrate, resistant starch, nutrient fiber, sugars, some peptides and proteins as

**Corresponding Author:** A. Keramat Amirkolaie, Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Animal Sciences and Fisheries, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University (SANRU), Km 9 Darya Boulevard, P.O. Box: 578, Sari, Iran. Tel: +0098-151-3822717, Fax: +0098-151-3822565.

well as some certain lipids that enter the intestine [8]. Xylo-oligosaccharides, fructo-oligosaccharides, inulin and other carbohydrates substrate have received considerable attention because of their health benefits to host [9, 10]. Several studies have demonstrated that prebiotics can improve growth parameters, disease resistance, gut morphology and modulate the intestinal microbiota in various aquatic species [4, 10-15]. Dietary supplementation of polysaccharide prebiotic seems to reform bacterial community in fish intestine, which improves health and feed efficacy in host [13, 16]. However, there is still little information on beneficial bacteria and the by-products produced by dietary non-digestible carbohydrate.

Commercial product of Immunogen is composed of several stimulating compounds such as  $\beta$ -glucan and mannan-oligosaccharide which have been used as feed additives in various animals [17] reported to affect fish growth rate, feed efficiency, digestibility, immune responses and survival [14, 18-20]. In addition, supplementation of  $\beta$ -glucan was stated to be efficient stimulator of non-specific immune functions in fish [19, 21].

While some literature is available on the effect of prebiotic on fish performance and microflora characteristics, there is little information related to Immunogen on *Rutilus kutum*. Therefore, the main objective of the current study is to identify the effects of Immunogen on growth related parameters and gut microflora in *R. kutum*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Experimental System and Animal:** This study was carried out at the experimental facility of Shahid Rajaee Fish Farm located in northern part of Iran. *R. kutum* larvae were bred at the reproduction facility of the fish farm and adapted to the experimental conditions a few days before the start of the experiment. Afterward, the fish with an average weight of 300 mg were divided randomly into twelve 500L tanks with an initial stocking density of 500 individual per tank. The experiment lasted for 8 weeks. Prebiotic used in this study, Immunogen, is composed of mannan-oligosaccharide (18%) and  $\beta$ -glucans (1-3, 1-6) (30%). (Provided by Soroush Radian Co., Tehran, Iran).

A basal diet was formulated by the use of common feed ingredients in the region including fishmeal, soybean meal, meat meal, wheatmeal and so on (Table 1). The inclusion of Immunogen into the basal diet at the rates of 0, 1, 1.5 and 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> was led to four experimental diets.

Table 1: The percentage of ingredient used in the basal diet on % dry matter weight basis

Experimental diet	Amount
Soybean meal	21
Fish meal	34
Wheat meal	10
Corn meal	5
Wheat gluten	2.5
Meat meal	12
Cotton seed meal	4
Barley meal	4
Alfa alfa meal	1
Molasses	2
Salt (NaCl)	0.5
Toxin binder	1
Dicalcium phosphate	1
Premix	2
Nutrient composition of the basal diet in g/kg	
Dry matter	915.2
Crude protein	375.3
Crude fat	107.4
Carbohydrate	334.6
Crude ash	98.2

**Experimental Procedure:** On the 1<sup>st</sup> day of the experiment, fish were weighed and returned to the same tank. Experimental diets were given by hand to the fish at a rate of 10% of biomass, three times per day (8.00, 13.00 and 18.00 hrs). Experimental diets were randomly assigned to one of 12 tanks, having three replicates per diet. Water quality parameters were checked daily to keep appropriate ranges for the fish. The parameters were temperature, salinity, ammonium, dissolved oxygen and pH.

On day 56, all fish were weighed. Afterward, 30 fish were randomly selected from each tank and sacrificed using overdosed clove essence solution for analysis of body composition.

**Chemical Analysis and Bacteria Count:** Feed samples were collected and pooled at regular intervals during the experimental period and ground using a 1-mm screen before analyses. Feed and fish body were analysed for dry matter through drying samples for 24 h at 103°C until constant weight [22]. Ash content was determined by incineration in a muffle furnace for 4 h at 550°C [23]. Crude protein (N $\times$ 6.25) was measured by the Kjeldahl method after acid digestion according to [20]. Lipid was extracted by petroleum ether extraction in a Soxhlet apparatus. Carbohydrate fraction was determined as dry matter minus fat, protein and ash in the feed.

At the end of the experiment, further 10 larvae were collected from each treatment and their intestine samples were tested for bacteria counts. Prior to dissection and homogenization, the larvae were rinsed with sterilized distilled water, cleaned with ethanol (70.0%) and then washed again with sterilized distilled water to eliminate all exterior bacteria. The intestines were dissected out in sterile conditions. Three intestine samples from each fish were taken from the middle part of the intestine for microbiological analysis. All samples were diluted successively using sterilized normal saline solution (0.85% NaCl w/v) and then placed onto nutrient agar plates for bacteria counts.

**Fish Performance:** Weight gain was determined by the difference between total initial and final body weights. FCR was calculated per tank from feed intake data and weight gain. SGR was calculated from the natural logarithm of the mean final weight minus the natural logarithm of the mean initial weight and divided by the total number of experimental days expressed as a percentage per day. The calculations were based on the wet weight of the diet.

**Statistical Analysis:** Data are presented as means of each treatment with standard deviation. All data were verified for normality after transformation (ASIN). One-way ANOVA was used to determine the effect of Immunogen levels on fish performance and bacteria counts. Tukey's test was used to compare differences between the means. For all statistical analyses, each tank was considered as the experimental unit.

## RESULTS

Data on the growth performance of *Rutilus kutum* are presented in Table 2. Inclusion of 1g kg<sup>-1</sup> Immunogen improved fish growth, FCR and SGR (p<0.01), but further increase did not have an impact on growth parameters in comparison to control diet. Along the growth parameters, fish displayed higher survival rates at 1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> prebiotic in comparison to other treatments (p<0.01).

Body composition was not influenced by prebiotic inclusion and all measured parameters at different administration levels were almost the same (Table 3; P>0.05).

Total count of bacteria in the *R.kutum* was increased by addition of Immunogen (Table 4). Addition of the prebiotic (1g kg<sup>-1</sup>) also increased Gram positive bacteria counts but further inclusion did not change bacterial numbers (P<0.05).

## DISCUSSION

The results of this experiment showed that the addition of 1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> Immunogen as a prebiotic improves growth performance and survival rate of the fish. This is similar to results of Staykovet *et al.* and Li and Gatlin [14, 24] who observed a higher feed efficiency in hybrid striped bass and rainbow trout fed Grobiotic® prebiotic and manan-oligosaccharide. Although the main cause of prebiotic effect on *R.kutum* is not fully understood, colonization of beneficial bacteria induced by dietary Immunogen may increase the synthesis of essential

Table 2: Growth performance in *Rutilus kutum* fry feeding on different levels of Immunogen (IMU) over a 60-day experimental period All values are means of three replicates (tanks)/treatment ± standard deviation.

Parameters	Diets			
	Control	IMU1 g/kg	IMU 1.5 g/kg	IMU 2 g/kg
Initial weight (mg)	301.1±1.3	299.2±2.1	300.3±1.8	302.4± 1.5
Final weight (mg)	706± 3.5 <sup>b</sup>	718.6±2.6 <sup>a</sup>	705.8±3.3 <sup>b</sup>	708.9±4.2 <sup>b</sup>
Final length (mm)	4.80±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	5.01±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	4.82±0.08 <sup>b</sup>	4.81±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
SGR (%)	2.09±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.29±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.15±0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	2.12±0.07 <sup>ab</sup>
FCR	2.20±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.07±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	2.09±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	2.23±0.02 <sup>b</sup>
Survival rate (%)	87.38±2.3 <sup>b</sup>	92.33±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	87.08±2.5 <sup>b</sup>	87.07±2.6 <sup>b</sup>

Different superscript letters at the same row show significant differences

Table 3: Body composition in *Rutilus kutum* feeding on different levels of Immunogen (IMU) over a 60-day experimental period All values are means of three replicates (tanks)/ treatment ± standard deviation

Parameters	Diets			
	Control	IMU1 g/kg	IMU 1.5 g/kg	IMU 2 g/kg
Dry mater	24.95±0.32	24.56±1.01	23.25±2.77	24.26±1.24
Protein	15.28±1.22	15.18±1.46	15.07±0.91	14.92±0.09
Fat	8.15±0.18	8.03±0.28	7.79±0.96	7.55±0.26
Ash	1.90±0.23	1.91±0.16	1.94±0.13	1.97±0.21

Table 4: Total counts of bacteria in the *Rutilus kutum* feeding on different levels of Immunogen (IMU) over a 60-day experimental period. All values are means of three replicates (tanks)/ treatment  $\pm$  standard deviation

Bacterial community	Diet			
	Control	IMU 1 g/kg	IMU 1.5 g/kg	IMU 2 g/kg
Total count	$6.57 \times 10^4 \pm 910^b$	$6.52 \times 10^5 \pm 3100^b$	$7.09 \times 10^4 \pm 953^b$	$7.12 \times 10^4 \pm 821^b$
Gram positive*	$1.66 \times 10^4 \pm 152^b$	$1.41 \times 10^5 \pm 1527^a$	$1.87 \times 10^4 \pm 1616^b$	$1.79 \times 10^4 \pm 655^b$
Gram negative**	$4.91 \times 10^4 \pm 1892^b$	$5.11 \times 10^5 \pm 4509^a$	$5.22 \times 10^4 \pm 360^b$	$5.33 \times 10^4 \pm 1178^b$

Different superscript letters at the same row show significant differences

nutrients such as fatty acids, protein and vitamins [25]. A balanced production of essential nutrients, especially fatty acids by micro-organisms is also mentioned as a reason for a better growth performance in fish [26, 27]. The beneficial bacteria may involve in production of essential vitamins and through which improve health and reduce mortality. A lower number of dead and deformed fry in four species of ornamental fish was reported to cause by synthesis of vitamin B1 and B12 by prebiotic bacterial strain, *Bacillus subtilis* [28].

An improved survival rate along with the growth promotion of Gram positive bacteria at 1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> administration level in the current study may be attributed to reduction of harmful bacteria in the intestine induced by Immunogen fermentation. The promotion of Gram positive bacteria growth induced by oligo-saccharide substrate is limiting pathogenic bacteria colonization [7, 20, 28]. Similarly, [29] showed that microbial community in the gastro-intestinal of turbot larvae (*Psetta maxima*) was changed significantly by dietary inclusion of inulin and oligosaccharide as prebiotics. The growth of beneficial bacteria population such as bifidobacteria and *Lactobacilia* in the intestine of Atlantic salmon and Persian sturgeon were increased by addition of manan-oligosaccharide and Immunogen [30, 31].

The current results also demonstrate that there is a threshold for prebiotic inclusion (1 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and further inclusion may yield no impact on the performance and health status of the fish [32] showed negative effects of high doses on microvillous organization (disarray, lacking in some areas and less straight) in the hindgut of Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* fed a high concentration of inulin (15% of the diet). Therefore, we hypothesize that prebiotic addition beyond the threshold (> 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) may result in negative impacts on fish performance.

Body proximate analyses in this study showed that administration of prebiotic Immunogen did not affect the fish body composition (Table 3). This is in contrast to the results observed in other species such as Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout fed diets containing 10 and 20 g kg<sup>-1</sup> oligosaccharides, respectively [33, 34]. Inclusion of Immunogen was proposed to increase protein and fat

content of the fish because of an improved feed efficiency. However, the results do not support the idea. The contradictory results obtained from prebiotic studies on body composition may be related to species, dosage levels, fermentability of the prebiotics and the different intestinal morphology and microbiota [35]. Moreover, the efficiency of prebiotic applications in fish seems to be dependent on diet composition, especially carbohydrate fraction or even environmental condition, which may have an interaction effect with the prebiotic used. Further works are necessary focusing on bacteria community using different types and levels of carbohydrate at different environmental conditions in order to fully assess any possible effect on fish performance caused by those parameters.

In conclusion, the results of the current study demonstrate that inclusion of the prebiotic Immunogen as a dietary complement is capable of improving the nutrients efficiency and growth performance of *Rutilus kutum*. Administration of this prebiotic also increases Gram positive bacteria in the intestine, thereby having beneficial effects on the improvement of host nutrition. Based on the findings of this study, supplementation of Immunogen at a level of 1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet is suitable for feeding *R. kutum* fingerlings to support fish growth and health.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully express their tanks to Dr. Rasoul Aminpour for his assistant in providing the prebiotic and some technical measurements.

## REFERENCES

1. Piedrahita, R.H., 2003. Reducing the potential environmental impact of tank aquaculture effluents through intensification and recirculation. *Aquaculture*, 226: 35-44.
2. Losordo, T.M., M.P. Masser and J.E. Rakocy, 1999. Recirculating aquaculture tank production systems: a review of component options. SRAC (Publication no. 453).

3. Gibson, G.R.M.B. Roberfroid, 1995. Dietary modulation of the colonic microbiota: introducing the concept of prebiotics. *J. Nutr.*, 125: 1401-1412.
4. Burr, G., D. Gatlin and S. Ricke, 2005. Microbial Ecology of the Gastrointestinal Tract of Fish and the Potential Application of Prebiotics and Probiotics in Finfish Aquaculture. *J. World Aquac. Soc.*, 36: 425-436.
5. Boyd, C.E. and L. Massaaut, 1999. Risks associated with these of chemicals in pond aquaculture. *Aquacult. Eng.*, 20: 113-132.
6. Esiobu, N., L. Armenta and J. Ike, 2002. Antibiotic resistance in soil and water environments. *Int. J. Environ. Healt. R.*, 12: 133-144.
7. Verschuere, L., G. Rombaut, P. Sorgeloos and W. Verstraete 2000. Probiotics bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture. *Micobiol. Mol. Biol. R.*, 64: 655-671.
8. Fooks, L.J. and G.R. Gibson, 2002. Probiotic as modulators of the gut flora. *Brit. J. Nutr., Suppl.*, 1: S39-S49.
9. Cerezuela, R., A. Cuesta, J. Meseguer and M.A. Esteban, 2008. Effects of inulin on gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.) innate immune parameters. *Fish Shellfish Immun.*, 24: 663-668.
10. Zhou, Z., Z. Ding and L.V. Huiyuan, 2007. Effects of dietary short-chain fructo-oligosaccharide on intestinal microflora, survival and growth performance of juvenile white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *J. World Aqua. Soc.*, 38: 296-301.
11. Genc, M., E. Yilmaz and E. Genc, 2007. Effects of dietary mannan-oligosaccharides (MOS) on growth, body composition and intestine and liver histology of the hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* × *O. aureus*). *Isi. J. Aquac.*, 59: 10-16.
12. Torrecillas, S., A. Makol, M.J. Caballero, D. Montero, L. Robaina, F. Real, J. Sweetman, L. Tort and M.S. Izquierdo, 2007. Immune stimulation and improved infection resistance in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) fed mannan oligosaccharides. *Fish Shellfish Immun.*, 23: 969-981.
13. Salze, G., E. McLean, M.H. Schwarz and S.R. Craig, 2008. Dietary mannan oligosaccharide enhances salinity tolerance and gut development of larval coho. *Aquaculture*, 274: 148-152.
14. Staykov, Y., P. Spring, S. Denev and J. Sweetman, 2007. Effect of mannan oligosaccharide on the growth performance and immune status of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture International*, 15: 153-161.
15. Mussatto, S.I. and I.M. Mancilha, 2007. Non-digestible oligosaccharides: A review. *Carbohydrate Polymer*, 68: 587-597.
16. Dimitroglou, A., D.L. Merrifield, P. Spring, J. Sweetman, R. Moate and S.J. Davies, 2010. Effects of mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) supplementation on growth performance, feed utilization, intestinal histology and gut microbiota of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*, 300: 182-188.
17. Ebrahimi, G., H. Ouraji, M.K. Khalesi, M. Sudagar, A. Barari, M. Zarei Dangesaraki and K. JaniKhalili, 2012. Effects of a prebiotic, Immunogenon feed utilization, body composition, immunity and resistance to *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in the common carp *Cyprinus carpio* (Linnaeus) fingerlings. *J. Anim. Physiol. An. N.*, 98: 591-599.
18. Burr, G., M. Hume, W.H. Neill and D.M. Gatlin, 2008. Effects of prebiotics on nutrient digestibility of soybean-meal-based diet by red drum *Sciaenops ocellatus* (Linnaeus). *Aquac. Res.*, 39: 1680-1686.
19. Santarem, M., B. Novoa and A. Figueras, 1997. Effects of  $\beta$ -glucans on the non-specific immune responses of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.). *Fish Shellfish Immun.*, 7: 429-437.
20. Thompson, F.L., P.C. Abreu and R. Cavalli, 1999. The use of microorganisms as food source for *Penauspaulensis* larvae. *Aquac. Res.*, 174: 139-153.
21. Robertsen, B., R.E. Engstad and J.B. Jorgensen, 1994.  $\beta$ -glucans as immune stimulators in fish. In: J. S. Stolen, T. C. Fletcher (eds), *Modulators of Fish Immune Responses*, Vol I. SOS Publications, Fair Haven, NJ, pp: 83-99.
22. ISO, 1983. Animal feeding stuffs. Determination of moisture content. ISO 6496. International Organization for Standardization.
23. ISO, 1978. Animal feeding stuffs determination of crude ash. ISO 5984. International Organization for Standardization.
24. Li, P. and D. Gatlin, 2005. Dietary brewer's yeast and the prebiotic Grobiotic® AE influence growth performance, immune responses and resistance of hybrid striped bass (*Morone chrysops* × *M. saxatilis*) to *Streptococcus iniae* infection. *Aquaculture*, 231: 445-456.
25. Hajibeglou, A. and M. Sudagar, 2011. Effect of Dietary Probiotic Level on the Reproductive Performance of Female Platy *Xiphophorus maculatus*. *Vet. Res.*, 4: 66-70.

26. Ghosh, S., A. Sinha and C. Sahu, 2007. Effect of probiotic on reproductive performance in female live bearing ornamental fish. *Aquac. Res.*, 38: 518-522.
27. Irianto, A. and B. Austin, 2000. Probiotics in aquaculture. *J. Fish Dis.*, 25: 633-642.
28. Ringo, E. and F. Gatesoupe, 1988. Lactic acid bacteria in fish: a review. *Aquac. Res.*, 160: 177-203.
29. Mahious, A.S., F.J. Gatesoupe, M. Hervi, R. Metailler and F. Ollevier, 2006. Effect of dietary inulin and oligosaccharides as prebiotics for weaning turbot, *Psetta maxima* (Linnaeus, C1758). *Aquacu. Inter.*, 14: 219-229.
30. Refstie, S., G. Baeverfjord, R.R. Seim and O. Elvebo, 2010. Effects of dietary yeast cell wall  $\beta$ -glucans and MOS on performance, gut health and salmon lice resistance in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed sunflower and soybean meal. *Aquaculture*, 305: 109-116.
31. Jafar-nodeh, A., 2010. The Effect of the Commercial Prebiotic Immunogenon Growth Performance, Survival, Blood Indices and Intestinal Bacterial Flora of Persian Sturgeon *Acipenser persicus*. MSc thesis, Gorgan University, Gorgan, Iran (in Persian).
32. Olsen, R.E., R. Myklebust, H. Kryvi, T.M. Mayhew, and E. Ring, 2000. Damaging effect of dietary inulin on intestinal enterocytes in arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Aquac. Res.*, 32: 931-934.
33. Dimitroglou, A., D.L. Merrifield, R. Moate, S.J. Davies, P. Spring, J. Sweetman and G. Bradley, 2009. Dietary mannan-oligosaccharide supplementation modulates intestinal microbial ecology and improves gut morphology of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87: 3226-3234.
34. Grisdale, B., S.J. Helland and D.M. Gatlin, 2008. The effects of dietary supplementation with mannan-oligosaccharide, fructooligosaccharide or galactooligosaccharide on the growth and feed utilization of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture*, 283: 163-167.
35. Hoseinifar, S.H., P. Zare and D.L. Merrifield, 2010. The effects of inulin on growth factors and survival of the Indian white shrimp larvae and postlarvae (*Fenneropenaeus indicus*). *Aquac. Res.*, 41: 348-352.